US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

CATALOG DOCUMENTATION
EMAP SURFACE WATERS PROGRAM LEVEL DATABASE
1993-1996 MID-ATLANTIC STREAMS DATA
Periphyton Palmer Count Data

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. DATA SET IDENTIFICATION
- 2. INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION
- 3. DATA SET ABSTRACT
- 4. OBJECTIVES AND INTRODUCTION
- 5. DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING METHODS
- 6. DATA MANIPULATIONS
- 7. DATA DESCRIPTION
- 8. GEOGRAPHIC AND SPATIAL INFORMATION
- 9. QUALITY CONTROL / QUALITY ASSURANCE
- 10. DATA ACCESS
- 11. REFERENCES
- 12. TABLE OF ACRONYMS
- 13. PERSONNEL INFORMATION

### 1. DATA SET IDENTIFICATION

- 1.1 Title of Catalog Document EMAP Surface Waters Stream Database 1993-1996 Mid-Atlantic Streams Periphyton Palmer Counts Data
- 1.2 Authors of the Catalog Entry U.S. EPA NHEERL Western Ecology Division Corvallis, OR
- 1.3 Catalog Revision Date January 1999
- 1.4 Data Set Name PERISCNT
- 1.5 Task Group Surface Waters
- 1.6 Data Set Identification Code 117
- 1.7 Version

001

# 1.8 Requested Acknowledgment

These data were produced as part of the U.S. EPA's Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP). If you publish these data or use them for analyses in publication, EPA requires a standard statement for work it has supported:. "Although the data described in this article have been funded wholly or in part by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency through its EMAP Surface Waters Program, it has not been subjected to Agency review, and therefore does not necessarily reflect the view of the Agency and no official endorsement of the conclusions should be inferred."

#### 2.0 INVESTIGATOR INFORMATION

2.1 Principal Investigator
Dr. John Stoddard
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL Western Ecology Division
200 S.W. 35th Street
Corvallis, OR 97333

2.2 Investigation Participant- Sample Collection
Oregon State University
State of Virginia
State of West Virginia
State of Maryland
State of Pennsylvania
University of Maine
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Research and Development
Region III

### 3.0 DATA SET ABSTRACT

### 3.1 Abstract of the Data Set

The data set contains the results of periphyton counts from samples collected from erosional and depositional habitats located at each of nine interior cross-section transects. Counts for each diatom and soft algae genera are represented as both raw laboratory counts and counts per area sampled.

3.2 Keywords for the Data Set algae, bacteria, count, organic matter, periphyton, protozoa

### 4.0 OBJECTIVES AND INTRODUCTION

# 4.1 Program Objectives

The Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP) was designed to periodically estimate the status and trends of the Nation's ecological resources on a regional basis. EMAP provides a strategy to identify and bound the extent, magnitude and location of environmental degradation and improvement on a regional scale based on a probability-based statistical survey design.

#### 4.2 Data Set Objective

This data set is part of a demonstration project to evaluate approaches to monitoring streams in EMAP. The data set contains the results of multi-habitat sample of the periphyton taken during spring low-flow.

### 4.3 Data Set Background Discussion

The primary function of the perident data set is to provide a count of the periphyton genera present in the stream at the time of sampling. Periphyton represents an integral component of stream biological integrity. Periphyton is algae, fungi, bacteria, protozoa, and associated organic matter associated with channel substrates. Periphyton are useful indicators of environmental condition because they respond rapidly and are sensitive to a number of anthropogenic disturbances, including habitat destruction, contamination by nutrients, metals, herbicides, hydrocarbons, and acidification.

### 4.4 Summary of Data Set Parameters

Raw counts and counts per area sampled for each genera. Flow type at sample point is also indicated.

- 5. DATA ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING METHODS
- 5.1 Data Acquisition
- 5.1.1 Sampling Objective

To obtain counts of periphyton species at the sample site during a two month sampling window from April through mid-June.

#### 5.1.2 Sample Collection Methods Summary

Periphyton samples were collected from erosional and depositional habitats located at each of nine interior cross-section transects (transects "B" through "J") established within the sampling reach, according to the protocols outlined in Lazorchak et. al (1998).

- 5.1.3 Sampling Start Date April 1993
- 5.1.4 Sampling End Date September 1996
- 5.1.5 Platform

NA

#### 5.1.6 Sampling Gear

Plastic funnel, 500ml plastic bottles, stiff-bristled toothbrush, 60-ml syringe, and a wash bottle.

- 5.1.7 Manufacturer of Instruments NA
- 5.1.8 Key Variables NA
- 5.1.9 Sampling Method Calibration NA
- 5.1.10 Sample Collection Quality Control See Lazorchak, et al. 1998.

### 5.1.11 Sample Collection Method Reference

Lazorchak, J.M., Klemm, D.J., and Peck D.V. (editors). 1998. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program- Surface Waters: Field Operations and Methods for Measuring the Ecological Condition of Wadeable Streams. EPA/620/R-94/004F. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

Chaloud, D.J. and D.V. Peck. 1994. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program: Integrated Quality Assurance Project Plan for the Surface Waters Resource Group, 1994 Activities. EPA 600/X-91/080, Rev. 2.00. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Las Vegas, Nevada.

- 5.1.12 Sample Collection Method Deviations NA
- 5.2 Data Preparation and Sample Processing
- 5.2.1 Sample Processing Objective See Lazorchak, et al. (1998) and Chaloud and Peck (1994).
- 5.2.2 Sample Processing Methods Summary See Lazorchak, et al. (1998) and Chaloud and Peck (1994).
- 5.2.3 Sample Processing Method Calibration See Lazorchak, et al. (1998) and Chaloud and Peck (1994).
- 5.2.4 Sample Processing Quality Control See Lazorchak, et al. (1998) and Chaloud and Peck (1994).
- 5.2.5 Sample Processing Method Reference See Lazorchak, et al. (1998) and Chaloud and Peck (1994).
- 6. DATA MANIPULATIONS
- 6.1 Name of New or Modified Values None.
- 6.2 Data Manipulation Description See Chaloud and Peck (1994).
- 7. DATA DESCRIPTION
- 7.1 Description of Parameters

Parameter SAS Name		Len	Format	Parameter Label
CNT AREA	Num	 8		Taxon Population Per cm^2 Sampled
COMMENT	Char	200		Periphyton Comments
DATE_COL	Num	8	MMDDYY	Date of Site Visit
GENERACO	Char	5		Unique genus ID
LAT_DD	Num	8		X-Site Latitude (decimal degrees)
LON_DD	Num	8		X-Site Longitude (decimal degrees)
RAWCNT	Num	8		Unadjusted (Raw) Lab Counts
SAMPLED	Char	30		Site Sampled Code
SAMPTYPE	Char	20		Sample Method

# 7.1 Description of Parameters, continued

SAMP\_ID Sample Tracking Number (Barcode) Num 8 15 \$CHAR Site Identification Code SITE ID Char TAXON Char 100 Latin Designation VISIT\_NO Num 8 Within Year Site Visit Number YEAR 8 Num Year of Site Visit

## 7.1.6 Precision to which values are reported

### 7.1.7 Minimum Value in Data Set

Name Min
-----CNT\_AREA 0.03
DATE\_COL 04/26/1993
LAT\_DD 36.5535
LON\_DD -83.244438889
RAWCNT 1
SAMP\_ID 200501
VISIT\_NO 0
YEAR 1993

### 7.1.7 Maximum Value in Data Set

# 7.2 Data Record Example

# 7.2.1 Column Names for Example Records

"CNT\_AREA", "COMMENT", "DATE\_COL", "GENERACO", "LAT\_DD", "LON\_DD", "RAWCNT", "SAMPLED", "SAMPTYPE", "SAMP ID", "SITE ID", "TAXON", "VISIT NO", "YEAR"

#### 7.2.2 Example Data Records

1975.33," ",05/17/1994,"CHCO",38.52530,-75.63110,26667,"Yes","POOL",210600, "DE750S","Chlorophyta cosmarium",1,1994

296.3," ",05/17/1994,"CHMO",38.52530,-75.63110,4000,"Yes","POOL",210600, "DE750S","Chlorophyta mougeotia",1,1994

19753.11," ",05/17/1994,"CHOE",38.52530,-75.63110,266667,"Yes","POOL",210600, "DE750S","Chlorophyta oedogonium",1,1994

### 8. GEOGRAPHIC AND SPATIAL INFORMATION

- 8.1 Minimum Longitude
- -83 Degrees 14 Minutes 39 Seconds West (-83.24444 Decimal Degrees)
- 8.2 Maximum Longitude
- -75 Degrees 7 Minutes 17 Seconds West (-75.12139 Decimal Degrees)
- 8.3 Minimum Latitude
- 36 Degrees 33 Minutes 12 Seconds North (36.55350 Decimal Degrees)
- 8.4 Maximum Latitude
- 41 Degrees 57 Minutes 21 Seconds North (41.95601 Decimal Degrees)
- 9. QUALITY CONTROL / QUALITY ASSURANCE
- 9.1 Data Quality Objectives See Chaloud and Peck (1994)
- 9.2 Quality Assurance Procedures
  See Chaloud and Peck (1994)
- 9.3 Unassessed Errors
- 10. DATA ACCESS
- 10.1 Data Access Procedures
- 10.2 Data Access Restrictions
- 10.3 Data Access Contact Persons
- 10.4 Data Set Format
- 10.5 Information Concerning Anonymous FTP
- 10.6 Information Concerning WWW
- 10.7 EMAP CD-ROM Containing the Data

## 11. REFERENCES

Lazorchak, J.M., Klemm, D.J., and Peck D.V. (editors). 1998. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program- Surface Waters: Field Operations and Methods for Measuring the Ecological Condition of Wadeable Streams. EPA/620/R-94/004F. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

Chaloud, D.J. and D.V. Peck. 1994. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program: Integrated Quality Assurance Project Plan for the Surface Waters Resource Group, 1994 Activities. EPA 600/X-91/080, Rev. 2.00. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Las Vegas, Nevada.

# 12. TABLE OF ACRONYMS

# 13. PERSONNEL INFORMATION

Project Manager
Dr. John Stoddard
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL Western Ecology Division
200 S.W. 35th Street
Corvallis, OR 97333
541-754-4441
541-754-4716(FAX)
stoddard@mail.cor.epa.gov

Quality Assurance Officer
Dave Peck
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
NHEERL Western Ecology Division
200 S.W. 35th Street
Corvallis, OR 97333
541-754-4426
541-754-4716(FAX)
davep@mail.cor.epa.gov

Information Management, EMAP-Surface Waters Marlys Cappaert
CSC c/o U.S. Environmental Protection Agency NHEERL Western Ecology Division
200 S.W. 35th Street
Corvallis, OR 97333
541-754-4467
541-754-4716(FAX)
cappaert@mail.cor.epa.gov